EPA Official Record

Notes ID: A384D2C6EAE2C7C48525786900542F59

From: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US

To: "Craffey, Paul (DEP)" < Paul. Craffey@state.ma.us>

Delivered Date: 03/27/2009 03:32 PM EDT

Subject: Re: City Dredging- Scow Dump Observation (oil sheens)

sounds good - see if you can have them prepared with sufficient oil booms, and have the booms pre-placed around the CAD cell (down current)

"Craffey, Paul (DEP)" <Paul.Craffey@state.ma.us>

"Craffey, Paul (DEP)" <paul.craffey@state.ma.us></paul.craffey@state.ma.us>		
03/27/2009 03:17 PM	То	Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
	сс	
	Subject	City Dredging- Scow Dump Observation (oil sheens)

I will be at the dredging this weekend. I will try to be there during the dump.

```
----Original Message----
From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2009 3:07 PM
To: Craffey, Paul (DEP); kristin.decas@ci.new-bedford.ma.us
Cc: Catri.Cynthia@epamail.epa.gov; Peterson.David@epamail.epa.gov;
Ng.ManChak@epamail.epa.gov; Gutro.Doug@epamail.epa.gov;
Brill.Larry@epamail.epa.gov; Falvey.Jeanethe@epamail.epa.gov;
stanley.elainet@epamail.epa.gov; Coyne, Joseph (DEP);
jborkland@apexcos.com; cmyers@apexcos.com
Subject: Fw: City Dredging- Scow Dump Observation (oil sheens)

Kristin and Paul - fyi, please see the attached email describing oil sheening at the current CAD cell operation. We should discuss further to see what additional engineering controls can be put in place to
```

```
mitigate this.
Dave
---- Forwarded by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US on 03/27/2009 03:01 PM
"L'Heureux, Paul
G NAE"
<Paul.G.L'Heureu To
x@usace.army.mil "Mackay, Joseph B NAE"
> <Joseph.B.Mackay@usace.army.mil>,
"Mitkevicius, K C NAE"
03/27/2009 02:27 <K.C.Mitkevicius@usace.army.mil>,
PM "Leitch, Robert A NAE"
<Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>,
Barbara Bergen/NAR/USEPA/US@EPA,
<dahlend@battelle.org>, "Coyne,
Joseph (DEP)"
<Joseph.Coyne@state.ma.us>,
"Dragos, Paul M"
<dragosp@BATTELLE.ORG>, Dave
Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA,
William Nelson/NAR/USEPA/US@EPA,
ElaineT Stanley/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
"Beaudoin, Maurice NAE"
<Maurice.Beaudoin@usace.army.mil>
, <mark.gouveia@jacobs.com>,
<steve.fox@jacobs.com>, "Wilson,
Carl" <Carl.Wilson2@jacobs.com>
Subject
FW: City Dredging- Scow Dump
Observation
```

Tripp Marine made their second dump in the CAD cell at approximately 1220 hrs on Friday, March 27, 2009. High tide today was at 0907. They departed the upper harbor location at 1140 hours. Listed below are my observations. There was heavy rain last night into this morning. The sky was overcast

there was a strong wind blowing out of the northeast. The water in both the

upper and lower harbor was considerably more turbid because of the precipitation run off.

The material in this scow (as opposed to the last one) has more typical material properties of the PCB contaminated material we dredge. The first

scow had the speed bump material dredged near the Coggshall Street Bridge

which was much more coarse grained. Todays scow was more organic with marine

silts and clays and much stronger smelling organics. Much of this odor can be

attributed to material deposited from CSO discharge.

Apex was at the dump site performing water quality monitoring and scow positioning in the CAD cell. Within 7 to 10 minutes after dumping, there was

a significant amount of oil on the water surface which was about the width of

the CAD cell and extended back to the north side of Popes Island. The oil

sheen was different in appearance today as it did not look like an $\operatorname{emulsion}$

(like the first dump). It had the appearance of the sheen we get when dredging in heavily contaminated areas. It looked like the light "waxy" PCB

carrier oil with rainbow colored sheen. Apex and Tripp attempted to put out

oil boom to collect the oil. I could not remain on site to see if they were

successful. When I left, the oil was still coming out of the CAD and was significantly downstream. I was not able to pick up a plume direction because

of the existing water turbidity. ${\rm H2S}$ smell was very strong today but was dispersed by a significant wind. I could envision that the oil and ${\rm H2S}$ will

be significant problems we would need to overcome if we change to CAD $\ensuremath{\mathsf{cell}}$

disposal.

Battelle was in the vicinity of the CAD cell performing velocity gradients. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

spoke with Paul and Matt (and Mike Walsh) about what they were able to observe. I told them that Tripp plans to work Saturday and Sunday with a potential dump late in the day on Sunday or Monday. I will give them an updated observation on Monday morning.

```
----Original Message----
```

From: L'Heureux, Paul G NAE

Sent: Friday, March 27, 2009 11:19 AM

To: 'Dragos, Paul M'; Mackay, Joseph B NAE; 'Skip Nelson'; 'Barb Bergen';

'Dave Dickerson'; 'Dahlen, Deirdre T'; 'Elaine Stanley'; Mitkevicius, K C

NAE; Leitch, Robert A NAE; 'Coyne, Joseph (DEP)'

Cc: Beaudoin, Maurice NAE

Subject: RE: City Dredging- Scow Dump Observation

During my last observation, I did not account for the dredger working until

dark during the last two days. As a result, they are preparing for another

dump sometime this afternoon. I will be going out to watch this dump as well.

I do not know yet if they are working the weekend or not. If so, there may be

another dump late Sunday. I will forward info as soon as I get it.

----Original Message----

From: Dragos, Paul M [mailto:dragosp@BATTELLE.ORG]

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 5:22 PM

To: L'Heureux, Paul G NAE; Mackay, Joseph B NAE; Skip Nelson; Barb

Bergen;

Dave Dickerson; Dahlen, Deirdre T; Elaine Stanley; Mitkevicius, K C NAE;

Leitch, Robert A NAE

Cc: Beaudoin, Maurice NAE

Subject: RE: City Dredging- Scow Dump Observation

Paul:

Thanks for your observations. That's good news that there should be no problem for us to get our boat inside the silt curtain during disposal.

As for the frequency of dumps, we will be ready to go this Monday and standing by. But we can't stand by in New Bedford two days out of three.

There isn't budget for that. Is there anyone with the dredger or APEX that

can give you a days notice (or even 1/2 day) of a pending dump? If not, is

there someone we can call each day for their status from which we could make

an educated guess regarding the next days outgoing tide.

Thanks

Paul

Paul Dragos Senior Research Scientist Battelle 397 Washington St. Duxbury, MA 02332 (781) 952-5357 (voice) (614) 458-6880 (fax) dragosp@battelle.org ----Original Message----

From: L'Heureux, Paul G NAE [mailto:Paul.G.L'Heureux@usace.army.mil]

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 4:18 PM

To: Mackay, Joseph B NAE; Dragos, Paul M; Skip Nelson; Barb Bergen; Dave Dickerson; Dahlen, Deirdre T; Elaine Stanley; Mitkevicius, K C NAE; Leitch,

Robert A NAE

Cc: Beaudoin, Maurice NAE

Subject: City Dredging- Scow Dump Observation

Mark Gouveia, Carl Wilson and myself observed the first dump from Tripp's

split hull scow today at 1100 hrs. Tripp Marine communicates on channel 77 on

a navigation radio. Listed below are my observations.

1. Dumping times are tied to the tide. The scow was drafting $9.0\ \mathrm{feet}$. They

need to leave the upper harbor on an outgoing tide approximately 2 hours after high (to allow clearance of vessels under the bridges. The time from

mobilization of the scow to the CAD cell and return is approximately 4 hours.

Once dumped, the scow is approximately 10 feet high above water. They must

re-enter the upper estuary at low tide or pump water into the scow to lower $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

it. (The scow is new and watertight). There is no way they can make one dump

a day. At best, it looks like every third day by the time they are able to $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +$

get back on station and begin dredging again.

2. The scow is met by a crew at the CAD cell who open the silt curtain gate $\$

on the western side of the cell. The scow is position by GPS coordinates into

a predescribed location for dumping. The silt curtain door is closed (sort

of) once the scow is inside. There is plenty of room inside the silt curtained cell for our observation boat. Tripp was asked by Apex if we could

get inside with them and they have no problem with that.

3. Wind was very brisk out of the north. There was a fairly good ${\rm H2S}$ smell

from the full barge and also following the dump. We observed the dump from $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

the downwind location to the south. Once the dump happened, there was very

little turbidity observable from our location other than an area adjacent the $\,$

scow. Within ten minutes, a light, foamy emulsified oil sheen was observed

exiting over and possibly under the silt curtain. It was not a heavy rainbow

sheen that we have seen in the upper harbor at times. We also observed the $\ensuremath{\text{\text{th}}}$

water getting turbid outside the curtain. Given the wind conditions, tracking $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

an exit plume was very easy.

4. Tripp Marine deployed some oil absorbent rags in the oily areas. I suggested to Chet Meyers, (Apex) that they have some oil boom available onsite

in case there is significant oil that needs to be collected. He asked me if $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

was requiring them to deploy oil boom as well as the curtains. I stated that

I had no legal standing in their contract but told them how we use oil $\ensuremath{\mathsf{boom}}$

strung between two boats to corral floating oils.